I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office on 29, 2009.

Doran R. Pace, Patent Attorney

ELECTION UNDER 35 USC §121 Examining Group 1634 Patent Application Docket No. UF.418C2XCZ1 Serial No. 10/590,675

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner : Stephen Thomas Kapushoc

Art Unit : 1634

Applicants : Nasser Chegini, Xiaoping Luo, Li Ding, R. Stan Williams

Serial No. : 10/590,675

Filed: October 18, 2007

Confirm. No.: 2860

For : Detection and Treatment of Fibrotic Disorders

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## ELECTION UNDER 35 USC §121

Sir:

In response to the written Restriction Requirement dated May 27, 2009 in the above-identified patent application, Applicants hereby elect to prosecute the invention of Group III (claims 27-29, 31-37, and 40-42), without traverse. Applicants further elect the following single specific combination of at least one gene from those genes recited in claims 31-37: CTGF, fibromodulin, IL-11, IL-13, EGR1, EGR2, EGR3, MCP-1, MCP3, CXCL5, CCL7, SMAD3, SMAD7, TGF-bRII, TIMP-1, stanniocalcin 2, and ADAM17, with traverse.

Applicants respectfully request that the restriction based on a specific combination of at least one gene from those listed in the claims be reconsidered and withdrawn. The Examiner asserts that the different recited genes and combinations thereof lack unity in that the different genes are unique in that they are composed of particular nucleic acid sequences that are not common to one another. Applicants respectfully assert that the fact that the different genes may not share common sequences is irrelevant to a unity of invention determination where the

claimed invention is directed to methods for modulating gene expression in fibrotic tissue. Applicants have identified genes whose expression is associated with fibrotic tissue. Applicants are not claiming the genes themselves. Thus, Applicants' methods for modulating gene expression in fibrotic tissue should not be limited to a specific gene; rather, Applicants should be entitled to claim, as a single invention, the method using each and all combinations of the genes associated with fibrotic tissue as identified in the subject application. Requiring Applicants to prosecute a separate patent application for each combination of genes would be a hardship on both the Applicants and the Patent Office. As an example, if the methods involved the use of any combination of just four (4) separate genes, then the current restriction would require Applicants to file fifteen (15) separate applications to pursue method claims using the various combinations of differentially expressed genes. As the number of genes identified for use in the claimed methods increases, the number of separate patent applications that would be required becomes astronomical. At most, the election of a specific combination of at least one gene from the claims should be an election of species. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement and a determination of unity of invention for combinations of genes is respectfully requested.

A Preliminary Amendment is being submitted with this Election.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 CFR §§1.16 or 1.17 as required by this paper to Deposit Account No. 19-0065.

Respectfully submitted,

Doran R. Pace Patent Attorney

Registration No. 38,261

Phone No.: 352-375-8100 Fax No.: 352-372-5800 Address: P.O. Box 142950

Gainesville, FL 32614-2950

DRP/mv

Attachment: Preliminary Amendment